Direct radiative effect of the Russian wildfires and their impact on air temperature and atmospheric dynamics during August 2010
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Abstract

The present study aims at investigating the shortwave aerosol direct radiative forcing (ADRF) and its feedback on air temperature and atmospheric dynamics during a major fire event that occurred in Russia during August 2010. The methodology is based on an off-line coupling between the CHIMERE chemistry-transport and the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) models. First, simulations for the period 5–12 August 2010 have been evaluated by using AERONET and satellite measurements of the POLarization and Directionality of the Earth's Reflectance (POLDER) and the Cloud-Aerosol LIdar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) sensors. During this period, elevated POLDER AOT are found over a large part of Eastern Europe with values above 2 (at 550 nm) in the aerosol plume. According to CALIOP observations, particles remain confined within the first five kilometres of the atmospheric layer. Comparisons with satellite measurements show the ability of CHIMERE to reproduce the regional and vertical distribution of aerosols during their transport from the source region. Over Moscow, AERONET measurements indicate an important increase of AOT (340 nm) from 0.7 on 5 August to 2–4 between 6 and 10 August when the aerosol plume is advected over the city. Particles are mainly observed in the fine size mode (radius in the range 0.2–0.4 μm) and are characterized by elevated SSA (0.95–0.96 between 440 and 1020 nm). Also, comparisons of simulations with AERONET measurements show that aerosol physical-optical properties (size distribution, AOT, SSA) have been well simulated over Moscow in term of intensity and/or spectral dependence. Secondly, modelled aerosol optical properties have been used as input in the radiative transfer code of WRF to evaluate their direct radiative impact. Simulations indicate a significant reduction of solar radiation at the ground (up to 80–150 Wm$^{-2}$ in diurnal-averaged) over a large part of Eastern Europe due to the presence of the aerosol plume. This ADRF causes an important reduction of the near-surface air temperature between 0.2 and 2.6 °C at a regional scale. Moscow has been also affected by the aerosol plume, especially between 6 and 10 August. During this period, aerosol causes a significant reduction of surface shortwave radiation (up to 70–84 Wm$^{-2}$ in diurnal-averaged) with a moderate part (20–30 %) due to solar absorption within the aerosol layer. The resulting feedbacks lead to a cooling of the air up to 1.6 °C at the surface and 0.1 °C at an altitude of 1500–2000 m (in diurnal-averaged), that contribute to stabilize the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL). Indeed, a reduction of the ABL height of 13 to 65 % have been simulated during daytime in presence of aerosols. This decrease is the result of a lower air entrainment as the vertical wind speed in the ABL is shown to be reduced by 5 to 80 % (at midday) when the feedback of the ADRF is taken into account. In turn, CHIMERE simulations driven by the WRF meteorological fields including this ADRF feedback result in a large increase in the modeled near-surface PM$_{10}$ concentrations (up to 99 %) due to their lower vertical dilution in the ABL, which tend to reduce model biases with the ground PM$_{10}$ values observed over Moscow during this specific period.

1 Introduction

It is now well recognized that biomass burning aerosols can affect the earth's radiative balance by interacting with solar radiation. Their scattering (organic carbon) and absorbing (black and brown carbon) components can result either in a negative or positive shortwave direct radiative forcing with some potential influence on the regional climate, especially during important fire activities (Forster et al., 2007). Recent studies dealt with the regional climate impact of aerosols over areas affected by biomass burning episodes such as southern and western Africa (Tummon et al., 2011; Malavelle et al., 2011), Amazonia (Zhang et al., 2009) or Indonesia (Ott et al., 2010) and highlighted the complexity of these impacts such as changes in the atmospheric dynamics, precipitation regime and temperature gradient. Although the global fire activity is expected to increase in a future warmer climate (Moritz et al., 2012), the radiative effects of smoke aerosols on the atmosphere still remain uncertain (Carslaw et al., 2010), because of uncertainties in the amount of biomass burning aerosols emitted, their transport and
vertical distribution and the characterisation of their microphysical and optical properties.

During mid-July to mid-August 2010, the western part of Russia was affected by a strong heatwave episode favourable to the development of numerous wildfires (Witte et al., 2011). During this period, the release of smoke particles in the atmosphere associated with the accumulation of urban/industrial pollution largely contributed to high levels of aerosol concentrations over the Moscow region with daily PM$\text{_{10}}$ values up to 700 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (Konovalov et al., 2011). Recently, Chubarova et al. (2012) analysed the optical and radiative properties of particles during this specific fire event by using ground measurements at Moscow and Zvenigorod. They recorded elevated aerosol loadings over these two locations that induced an important direct radiative forcing reaching, on 7 August 2010, the instantaneous value $-167 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (at midday) at the top of the atmosphere, corresponding to an aerosol optical thickness of 6.4 at 500 nm. This intense aerosol radiative forcing is expected to have some potential feedbacks on the regional climate. However, the climatic impact of aerosols at regional scale during this intense biomass burning episode has not yet been investigated.

In this context, the present modelling study aims at analysing the chemical and optical properties of aerosols, their shortwave direct radiative forcing and its feedback on air temperature and atmospheric dynamics during the peak of fire activity that occurred during the first part of August 2010 over Eastern Europe. This work is based on an offline coupling between the CHIMERE chemistry-transport (Menut et al., 2013) and the WRF meteorological (Skamarock et al., 2001) models. An advantage of such methodology is the use of two sophisticated meso-scale models with reasonable computation time. Section 2 describes the configuration of each model and their offline coupling. Then, a description and evaluation of the modelled microphysical and optical properties of particles are given (Sect. 3.1) and their impacts on shortwave solar fluxes, temperature profile and atmospheric dynamics are discussed (Sect. 3.2). Finally, conclusions are made in Sect. 4.

2 Methodology

2.1 Description of the CHIMERE model

CHIMERE is a 3-D chemistry-transport model developed to simulate gaseous and particulate chemistry at regional scale (Bessagnet et al., 2004; Menut et al., 2013). In this study, the horizontal grid ranges from 43.40 to 63.20° N in latitude and from 18.70 to 57.30° E in longitude with a 30 km resolution and the vertical grid includes 15 levels from surface to 500 hPa. The aerosol module takes into account 9 species (sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, primary organic (OC) and black carbon (BC), secondary organic aerosols (SOA), sea salt, dust and water) distributed within 8 bins ranging from 40 nm to 10 $\mu$m (in diameter). Dynamical processes influencing aerosol population such as nucleation of sulphuric acid, coagulation, adsorption/desorption, wet and dry deposition and scavenging are taken into account. Particles can be scavenged either by coagulation with cloud droplets or by precipitating drops.

Anthropogenic emissions of gaseous and particulate origin are taken from EMEP inventory and soil dust are produced within the domain according to the parametrization of Vautard et al. (2005). Carbonaceous emissions from fossil fuel and biofuel consumption are issued from the work of Junker and Lioussse (2008). The formation of SOA is represented according to oxidation of relevant precursors and gas particle partitioning of the condensable oxidation products. This specific chemical scheme includes precursors of biogenic (such as isoprene, terpene, etc.) and anthropogenic (such as benzene, toluene, etc.) origin. Precursors emitted by vegetation are calculated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) (Guenther et al., 2006) scheme. The gas-particle partitioning formulation of Pun et al. (2006) has been adapted to the SOA formation mechanism implemented in CHIMERE (Bessagnet et al., 2009).

The important release of aerosols and gases by wildfires that affected Russia during summer 2010 are taken into account according to the work of Kaiser et al. (2012). In their methodology, biomass burning emissions are calculated with the Global Fire As-
vapour distribution, carbon dioxide, ozone and trace gases as well as the presence of aerosols through an off-line coupling with the CHIMERE model. For shortwave radiation, the Goddard model (Chou and Suarez, 1994) including 11 spectral bands from 0.2 to 6 µm is used, while for longwave radiation, the Rapid Radiative Transfer model (RRTM) (Mlawer et al., 1997) including 16 spectral bands from 6 to 1000 µm has been chosen. It should be noted that aerosol impacts on longwave radiation, such as sea salt and mineral dust, are not taken into account in RRTM in this version of WRF.

In this study, an off-line and one-way coupling between CHIMERE and WRF has been performed. A detailed description and evaluation of this methodology can be found in Pére et al. (2011). Meteorological input parameters required by CHIMERE such as 3-D wind, air temperature or relative humidity are provided by WRF. Secondly, aerosol optical properties (AOT, SSA, and $g$) are simulated using CHIMERE for an aerosol core-shell mixing. Finally, meteorological simulations are performed using aerosol optical properties as inputs in the WRF radiative transfer module. Here we focus our study on the impact of fine aerosols ($10 \text{ nm} \leq \text{radius} \leq 5 \mu \text{m}$) on shortwave solar radiation. To reduce computing time, aerosol optical properties are calculated at 4 four wavelengths (0.3, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.99 µm) and then interpolated on the shortwave radiation bands (0.2–6 µm) of the WRF radiative transfer module. The wavelength-dependent refractive indexes of each chemical species used to perform Mie calculations are reported in Table 1. AOT, SSA and $g$ are estimated for the 15 vertical layers of the CHIMERE model ranging from 40 m to about 6 km a.g.l. For higher altitude, a climatology of optical properties for the free troposphere and the stratosphere (Hess et al., 1998) has been used (Table 2). To estimate the shortwave ADRF and its feedback on air temperature and atmospheric dynamics, two simulations have been performed for the period 5–12 August 2010: one including the ADRF and the other without. It should be noted that this methodology enables only the investigation of climate feedbacks due to the ADRF. The indirect effect is not treated in the present study as it would require a complete on-line and two-way coupling between WRF and CHIMERE.
3 Results and discussions

3.1 Evaluation of the modelled aerosol optical properties

Simulations of aerosol properties during this specific episode have been evaluated with POLDER, CALIOP and AERONET observations. POLDER is on-board the PARASOL satellite of the A-Train constellation launched in December 2004. This sensor is a wide field of view imaging radiometer providing spectral, directional and polarized radiance measurements over land and ocean for visible wavelengths with a local overpass time around 10.30 a.m. over Europe. In this study, level-2 cloud-free AOT data at 550 nm with a 18 km horizontal resolution and corresponding to fine aerosols (radius less than 0.3 µm) have been used (Deuzé et al., 2001; Tanré et al., 2011). The CALIOP instrument, on-board the CALIPSO satellite of the A-Train platform since April 2006, is an elastic backscatter lidar providing the vertical distribution of aerosols and clouds. Here, we use the level-2 product of the aerosol extinction coefficient (at 532 nm) with a resolution of 330 m in the horizontal and 30 m in the vertical up to the middle troposphere (Winker et al., 2009). The AERONET network is constituted of sunphotometer instruments measuring a large number of parameters characterizing aerosol population such as AOT, SSA, asymmetry parameter, refractive index and volume size distribution for the whole atmospheric column (Holben et al., 1998). Level 2.0 (cloud free and manually checked) data at 340, 440, 675, 870 and 1020 nm for the station of Moscow (37.30°E and 55.42°N, see Fig. 1) have been used. The uncertainties in AERONET measurements are ±0.01 for AOT and ±0.03 for SSA when AOT (440 nm) is larger than 0.2 (Dubovik et al., 2000).

The meteorological conditions over Central Russia during the first part of August 2010 were characterized by persistent dry anticyclonic conditions and high temperatures favourable to the development of intensive wildfires reaching a maximum during the second week of August (Witte et al., 2011). The exceptional nature of this specific episode in terms of particulate pollution can be seen in Fig. 1 showing the spatial distribution of the AOT retrieved by the POLDER sensor (at 550 nm) and modelled by CHIMERE (at 400 nm) over Eastern Europe from 5 to 12 August 2010 (no data is available for the 8 and 11 August 2010). It should be noted that large areas with no POLDER measurements (white pixels) are present during the period due to cloud contamination. The POLDER cloud-screen algorithm (Bréon and Colzy, 1999) is sometimes too stringent but ensures that the retrieved aerosol properties are not biased by the presence of cloudy structures potentially embedded in the aerosol plume. The satellite observations clearly illustrate both the wide spatial extent and the intensity of the aerosol plume with AOT values (at 550 nm) above 1 over large areas. AOT (550 nm) peaks above 2 are shown as the aerosol plume is transported in an anticyclonic flow from the source region (east of Moscow) to Moscow (6–7 August), towards the north (on 9 August) and back to the east on 10–12 August. The model is able to rather well reproduce the AOT spatial features associated to the transport of particles. However, simulated values are shown to be sometimes underestimated into the advected plume (6, 10 August) and overestimated near the source region (5, 10, 12 August), compared to POLDER measurements. For instance, a clear AOT underestimation occurs over Moscow during the 6 August as the modelled aerosol plume is not enough extended towards the south-west. Over the period, this bias can reach a factor of ±2 in some areas and can lead to under/over estimate the intensity of the aerosol direct radiative forcing. The injection height of aerosols into the atmosphere is a key parameter controlling the transport and dilution of smoke particles. In our study, it is parametrized based on atmospheric conditions and fire characteristics (such as fire size and flaming intensity) retrieved from MODIS measurements, according to the methodology developed by Hodzic et al. (2007). This estimation can be, however, affected by uncertainties on satellite data. Empirical estimation of the fire injection height is commonly used in meso-scale chemistry-transport models and is generally shown to give a satisfactory representation of fire dynamics (Konovolov et al., 2011; Martins et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2012). Note that some alternative modelling approaches have been recently developed in which the interaction of the atmosphere and the fire is fully resolved at very fine scale (Filippi et al., 2009; Strada et al., 2012). Note also that new retrieval methods are un-
der development for POLDER that will provide the aerosol optical thickness in cloudy scenes (Waquet et al., 2013) and a detailed model of their properties (such as single scattering albedo and size distribution) over cloud-free land scenes (Dubovik et al., 2011). Such new developments will be a useful tool for both a better evaluation and further improvements (through data assimilation for instance) of aerosol simulation by chemistry-transport model like CHIMERE.

The vertical distribution of aerosols during this specific episode has been also recorded during the 9 August by the CALIOP sensor. Figure 2 presents the vertical profile of the aerosol extinction coefficient (in km$^{-1}$) retrieved by CALIOP (at 532 nm) and modelled by CHIMERE (at 400 nm) during 9 August at midnight in the north of Moscow (59.9° N, 37.6° E) located within the aerosol plume (see Fig. 1). Particles are shown to be confined within the first five km of the atmospheric layer, as shown in CALIOP measurements. There is a peak in the observed signal of 0.8–1.1 km$^{-1}$ around an altitude of 0.8–1 km which rapidly decreases until an altitude of 1.5 km. Above, measured aerosol extinction remains in the range 0.1–0.35 km$^{-1}$ until an altitude of about 5 km from which it becomes negligible. Except for a lack of CALIOP measurements below 0.6 km, the model are within or close to the uncertainty range of observations even if the model tends to overestimate CALIOP values above an altitude of 2 km. As shown hereafter in Fig. 6a, the solar extinction efficiency of fine aerosols is slightly larger at 400 nm than at 532 nm and could partly explain this overestimation. Another reason could be some uncertainties in modelling the release height and dilution of particles in the atmosphere, as previously discussed.

Particulate solar absorption is another important factor in determining the value and sign of the direct radiative forcing of aerosols. The spatial distribution of the daily mean SSA (at 400 nm) between 5 and 12 August 2010 with corresponding AERONET observations (at 440 nm) over Moscow (when available) are presented in Fig. 3. Elevated SSA between 0.93 and 0.97 (at 400 nm) are simulated all along the period over a large part of Eastern Europe, except for a small area in the south-eastern part of the domain where values decrease to 0.89–0.90 during 8 and 9 August 2010. It is interest-

ing to note that no optical signature of the aerosol plume is found in term of aerosol solar absorption compared to the AOT simulation (see Fig. 1). For example, a quite constant SSA of 0.96–0.98 (at 400 nm) is modelled at Moscow before (5–6 August), during (7–10 August) and after (11–12 August) the advection of the aerosol plume over the city, denoting the predominance of scattering species over the period. Such a model behaviour is consistent with corresponding AERONET observations with retrieved SSA values of 0.95–0.96 (at 440 nm) during 7, 10 and 12 August (Fig. 3). This temporal homogeneity of the SSA over the Moscow region can be explained by the analysis of the chemical composition of particles. Figure 4 shows the daily mean percent contribution of the different chemical species to the total PM$_{10}$ concentration at the surface, modelled at Moscow for each day of the studied period. No clear changes in the aerosol chemical composition are modelled when the aerosol plume overpasses Moscow (7–10 August) compared to the days before (5–6 August) and after (11–12 August), with a predominance of dust and primary (OC) and secondary (SOA) organic carbon, which are considered as mainly scattering in our simulations (see Table 1). The modelled dust, typically made up of resuspended wind-blown particles, is also present in moderate quantity (fraction of 6–13 %) as its emission is favoured by dry soil conditions and fire dynamics. In term of proportion, organics are the dominant part of the aerosol throughout the period with relative contributions of 8–67 % and 16.5–75 % for, respectively, OC and SOA. OC is the major chemical specie between 5 and 10 August (43–67 %) while it is SOA at the end of the period (71–75 %) when the aerosol plume moves away from Moscow. These elevated proportions of organic carbon are the result of large OC and VOC emissions (wildfires + anthropogenic) combined with an important photochemistry favoured by persistent sunny conditions. The other chemical species (SO$_2^+$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, BC, sea salt, water content) are present in lower fraction (0.02–8 %) including black carbon (0.35–0.80 %), which is the main absorbing specie (see refractive index of different particles in Table 1). The important contribution of scattering aerosols results then in elevated values of SSA modelled over Moscow throughout the period. It should also be noted from Fig. 4 that this intense biomass burning
episode is associated to elevated near-surface PM$_{10}$ concentrations over Moscow with
daily mean modelled values in the range 100–300 µg m$^{-3}$ between 6 and 10 August.
This estimation is nevertheless lower than the increase of ground particulate pollution
observed over this area during the peak of fire event by Konovalov et al. (2011) (100–
700 µg m$^{-3}$ in daily average).

Compared to SSA, the advection of the aerosol plume over the Moscow region
has been clearly detected in the routine measurements of AOT of the corresponding
AERONET station. Figure 5 shows the temporal evolution of the daily mean AOT over
Moscow retrieved by AERONET (at 340 nm) and modelled by CHIMERE (at 300 nm)
between 5 and 12 August 2010. In this figure, we can see an important increase of
AERONET AOT from a moderate value of 0.7 on 5 August to very elevated values of
2–4 between 6 and 10 August when the intense aerosol plume overpasses Moscow.
Then, moderate AOT (340 nm) of 0.5–0.8 are measured on 11–12 August when the
plume moves towards the north and the east (see Fig. 1). The model performs rela-
tively well in simulating the temporal evolution of the observed AOT over this region
with biases ranging from −40 to 30 % along the period, except for the 6 and 10 Au-
gust where discrepancy between simulated and observed values is larger due to some
uncertainties in simulating the transport of the aerosol plume over Moscow (see Fig. 1).

In a second time, we investigated the spectral evolution of the aerosol optical prop-
ties. Figure 6 a compares the wavelength dependence of the AOT (averaged between 5
and 12 August) modelled by CHIMERE with corresponding observations at the Moscow
AERONET station. Values of modelled and observed Angström exponent are also in-
dicated in parenthesis. In average over the period, the modelled AOT decreases from
1.60 at 300 nm to 0.28 at 1000 nm, which is in accordance with photometric obser-
vations. Such a model behaviour is corroborated by good agreement between sim-
ulated (1.45) and measured (1.50) Angström exponent indicating that CHIMERE is
able to reproduce the fine size mode of particles, as displayed in Fig. 6b. This figure
presents the period-averaged column volume size distribution (in µm$^3$) retrieved
by AERONET over Moscow and modelled by CHIMERE for the total aerosol popula-
tion and for each chemical components. For the total aerosol distribution, two modes in
the accumulation ($r = 0.1–0.3$ µm) and coarse size range ($r \geq 1$ µm) are modelled. This
fits well with AERONET retrievals (observed fine mode for radius about 0.2–0.4 µm),
although the model simulates a higher volume concentration of very fine particles. In
parallel, the coarse mode size distribution is shown to be underestimated by CHIMERE
for aerosol radius above 2 µm. It should be noted from Fig. 6b that scattering organic
aerosols account for a large proportion of the fine mode of particles while the respec-
tive contribution of absorbing black carbon is low, as previously seen in Fig. 4. Also, the
contribution of scattering resuspended dust in the coarse mode is non-negligible, al-
though under-estimated compared to AERONET. As a consequence, elevated SSA are
simulated with a mean value of 0.97 along the 300–1000 nm spectral range (Fig. 6c).
The low absorbing efficiency of particles associated to a weak spectral dependence
are consistent with AERONET observations with values of 0.95–0.96 between 440 nm
and 1020 nm. Such aerosol scattering characteristics have been shown to be typical of
intense fire conditions previously encountered over Russia (Chubarova et al., 2012).

In summary, the evaluation of the aerosol simulation during this specific episode
shows the ability of the model to describe the evolution of the aerosol plume at regional
and vertical scale. In addition, CHIMERE is shown to give an appropriate representa-
tion of the aerosol size distribution and its extinction and absorbing properties; which
is the pre-requisite to study its direct radiative effect and climate feedbacks.

3.2 Direct radiative effect of the aerosol plume and its feedback on air
temperature and atmospheric dynamics

Simulated spectral AOT, SSA and g are used to evaluate the impact of particles on
shortwave radiative fluxes. Results for the diurnal-averaged ADRF simulated at the
ground ($\Delta F_{BOA}$) between 5 and 12 August 2010 over Eastern Europe is displayed in
Fig. 7. During the studied period, particles exert an important impact on the energy
radiation budget. The shortwave ADRF at the surface is negative over the entire do-
main with values of −10 to −150 W m$^{-2}$ in diurnal-averaged. The surface ADRF spatial
patterns closely follow the AOT spatial pattern. Hence, a maximum reduction of solar energy reaching the ground of 80–150 W m$^{-2}$ are modelled along the aerosol plume transport. As a consequence, the Moscow region has been subjected to an important aerosol surface forcing, especially during the arrival of the aerosol plume between 6 and 10 August (40–90 W m$^{-2}$), which lead to an important impact on the local air temperature profile and atmospheric dynamics. This point will be discussed hereafter in further details. At a regional scale, Fig. 8 indicates that the impact of this shortwave ADRF on the simulated near-surface air temperature is non negligible with a reduction from 0.2 to 2.6°C (in diurnal-averaged) over a large part of the domain. Again, the maximum decrease of air temperature (1.0–2.6°C) is shown to be associated with the aerosol plume transport. It is noteworthy from Fig. 8 that the aerosol impact on 2 m temperature is not always correlated to the respective pattern of the surface ADRF (Fig. 7). For example, the decrease of the near-surface air temperature over western Moscow during 8 August (1.9–2.2°C) associated to an important surface ADRF (90–110 W m$^{-2}$) is similar to the decrease of temperature simulated over the same area during 10 August (1.6–2.0°C) for a surface radiative forcing much lower (30–60 W m$^{-2}$).

Near-surface air temperature mainly depends on incident solar flux, sensible and latent heat fluxes emitted by the terrestrial surface, aerosol solar absorption near the ground and atmospheric circulation. Hence, the resulting effect of these different mechanisms on the 2 m temperature can vary from a region to another, as previously shown by Zanis (2009) and Péré et al. (2011) over Europe. In turn, this reduction of air temperature due to the presence of aerosols may disturb the atmospheric dynamics such as the development of the atmospheric boundary layer. To further investigate these points, we will now focus our study over the Moscow region, where the surface ADRF and its impact on near-surface air temperature are shown to be pronounced, especially during the aerosol plume overpass (see Figs. 7 and 8).

In Fig. 9a are reported the diurnal-averaged shortwave ADRF (in W m$^{-2}$) over Moscow simulated at the ground ($\Delta F_{\text{BOA}}$) and within the atmosphere ($\Delta F_{\text{ATM}} = \text{difference between the ADRF at the top of the atmosphere and at surface}$), for each day of the studied period. We can see that the presence of aerosols reduces significantly the solar energy reaching the surface, especially between 6 and 10 August when the smoke plume is transported towards Moscow (modeled AOT (340 nm) = 1–3.5, see Fig. 5). The maximum reduction occurs on 7, 8 and 9 August when the smoke plume is at its maximum of intensity with diurnal mean $\Delta F_{\text{BOA}}$ of 65–85 W m$^{-2}$, which represents a decrease of about 20–25% (in average over daytime) of the total shortwave flux at the ground. Such values are comparable (although less pronounced) to the loss of surface shortwave irradiance (30–40% for AOT(500 nm) = 2–4) inferred from radiative flux measurements over Moscow during this period (Chubarova et al., 2012).

The difference may be due to the AOT underestimation, especially during the 7 August. Concerning the ADRF within the atmosphere ($\Delta F_{\text{ATM}}$) that represents the amount of solar radiation absorbed by particles in the atmospheric layer, modelled mean values vary from 5 W m$^{-2}$ to 26 W m$^{-2}$ and accounts only for about 20–30% of the total simulated ADRF. This moderate proportion of solar absorption by particles is the consequence of their very high scattering efficiency (modelled SSA of 0.97 between 300 and 1000 nm, see Fig. 6c). As previously shown over the entire domain, this important ADRF over Moscow is found to have feedbacks on meteorological parameters such as air temperature. Figure 9b presents the temporal evolution of the 2 m-temperature (averaged over daytime) simulated with and without aerosols along with corresponding observed values. As we can see, the presence of aerosols induces a non-negligible decrease of the near-surface air temperature ranging from 0.5 to 1.6°C. The highest reduction occurs again on 7, 8 and 9 August when the ADRF is the most pronounced. Compared to the observations, the modelled temperature tends to be overestimated throughout the period, especially when the feedback of the ADRF is not included in the simulation. This result is interesting as WRF is usually known to have a cold bias during the summer season over Europe (Menut et al., 2012), which strengthens the assumption that the ADRF due to the intense aerosol plume could have a regional influence on the air temperature. Indeed, the biases are shown to be clearly reduced when taking into account the aerosol solar extinction, even if a positive bias between simulation...
and observations still remains. As illustrated in Fig. 9c, the feedback of the shortwave ADRF does not only occur near the surface but also in the boundary layer. For example, during the 7, 8 and 9 August, the aerosol cooling effect is maximum near the ground (1.2–1.6 °C) and then gradually decreases and becomes negligible from an altitude of about 4000 m where the aerosol solar extinction is low (see Fig. 2). Such vertical structure of air temperature anomaly is favourable to a stabilizing effect in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL). Indeed, it is clearly shown in Fig. 10a, displaying the temporal evolution of the ABL height during 8 August simulated with and without aerosols, that the radiative effect of particles reduces the development of the boundary layer. For example, a maximum development of 3250 and 3750 m is modelled at midday for, respectively, the simulation with and without aerosols. This result is comparable to the maximum ABL reduction of 800 m (for an AOT(500nm) = 4) simulated with the on-line WRF-CMAQ model during the June 2008 Californian wildfires (Wong et al., 2012). Using the on-line WRF/Chem-MADRID model, Zhang et al. (2013) modelled a respective change of −40 m (in average over July 2001) corresponding to a maximum AOT(600 nm) of 0.6 over the eastern part of Europe. Our simulations indicate that, during daytime, the decrease of the ABL height due to the feedback of the ADRF is comprised between 13 and 65 %. As a consequence, the collapse of the ABL in presence of aerosols occurs one hour earlier (between 14 and 15 h) compared to the simulation without aerosols (between 15 and 16 h). Such weakening of the ABL development is the result of a lower air entrainment, as the vertical wind speed in the ABL is shown to be reduced by 5 to 80 % (at midday) during 8 August when the feedback of the ADRF is taken into account (Fig. 10b).

In turn, this modification of the meteorological parameters due to the feedback of the ADRF could have some potential consequence on the surface air quality. To investigate this point, we have used the WRF meteorological fields including the ADRF feedback as inputs in CHIMERE to re-perform chemistry-transport simulation. Table 3 presents the averaged near-surface concentrations (in µgm$^{-3}$) of PM$_{10}$, BC, OC, SOA and Dust along with AOT (340 nm) modeled at Moscow for the period 5–12 August 2010, without (WO) and with (W) the ADRF feedback on the input meteorological fields. Percentage changes between the two configurations (W minus WO) are also indicated in parenthesis. Results show small changes (+1–9 %) in the AOT (340 nm), indicating that the aerosol loading integrated over the atmospheric column is not sensitive to the modification of the atmospheric planetary boundary layer. However, a clear increase of the near-surface PM$_{10}$ concentrations are predicted (up to 99 %), mainly as a result of the reduction of the ABL development, with modelled mean values of 90–530 µgm$^{-3}$. Compared to the initial simulation (PM$_{10}$(WO) = 80–300 µgm$^{-3}$, see Table 3), such values are closer to the ones measured over Moscow by Konovalov et al. (2011) during the peak of fire event (100–700 µgm$^{-3}$). Also, it is interesting to note from Table 3 that increase of primary aerosol species (BC, OC, Dust) is more pronounced (6–176 %) than that of SOA (0–11 %), suggesting that secondary species are more sensitive to thermodynamic regime (through changes in air temperature and water vapour for instance) than reduction of its vertical dilution. This specific point should be further investigated but is beyond the scope of this study.

4 Conclusions

The main objectives of this work were to study the shortwave direct radiative forcing of aerosols during the peak of the 2010 Russian wildfires and their feedbacks on air temperature and atmospheric dynamics. For such objectives, an off-line coupling between the chemistry-transport model CHIMERE (associated with an aerosol optical module) and the Weather Research and Forecasting model has been performed. First, simulations for the period 5–12 August 2010 have been evaluated with ground-based (AERONET) and satellite (POLDER, CALIOP) measurements. Comparison with POLDER data shows the ability of the model to reproduce high aerosol optical thickness over Russia along the advection of the aerosol plume. Also, a relative consistent comparison is observed between CALIOP and CHIMERE aerosol vertical profiles with a transport of particles confined within the first five km of the atmosphere. In addition,
the spectral and temporal evolution of aerosol properties at the Moscow AERONET station have been well captured by the model. Observations indicated an important increase of AERONET AOT (340 nm) from 0.7 on 5 August to 2–4 between 6 and 10 August when the aerosol plume was transported over Moscow. The model performed relatively well in simulating the temporal evolution of the observed AOT with biases ranging from −40 to 30 % along the studied period (except for the 6 and 10 August where biases were larger). CHIMERE was able to reproduce the aerosol volume size distribution retrieved by AERONET, leading to good agreement between the modelled and observed wavelength dependence of AOT. Moreover, the model was shown to reproduce the low absorbing efficiency of the aerosol plume (dominated by primary organic species) with modelled elevated SSA (0.97 between 300 and 1000 nm) close to AERONET values over Moscow (0.95–0.96 between 440 and 1020 nm). In a second time, modelled aerosol optical properties have been used in WRF to investigate their direct radiative impacts. During this major fire event, important shortwave aerosol direct radiative forcing have been simulated at the surface (−(10–150) W m$^{-2}$ in diurnal-averaged) throughout Eastern Europe. Maximum ADRF values of −80 to −150 W m$^{-2}$ have been obtained over areas under the influence of the aerosol plume. At a regional scale, the impact of this shortwave ADRF on the simulated near-surface air temperature was non negligible with a reduction from 0.2 to 2.6 °C (in diurnal-averaged) over a large part of the domain. Moscow has been also subjected to an important aerosol radiative effect, especially during the arrival of the aerosol plume between 6 and 10 August. Simulations indicated that the presence of the aerosol plume over this area caused a significant reduction of shortwave solar radiation reaching the surface (up to 70–84 W m$^{-2}$ in diurnal-averaged) with a small part (20–30 %) due to solar absorption within the atmospheric layer. Including the feedback of the ADRF induced a decrease of the near-surface air temperature ranging from 0.5 to 1.6 °C (in diurnal-averaged) and lead to a better agreement with observations. This aerosol cooling effect have been shown to occur over whole the boundary layer and contribute to stabilize it with a reduction of the ABL height in presence of aerosols of 13 to 65 % during daytime.

decrease was the result of a lower air entrainment as the vertical wind speed in the ABL was shown to be reduced by 5 to 80 % (at midday) when the feedback of the ADRF is taken into account, with some consequence on the surface particulate pollution. Indeed, a CHIMERE sensitivity test, driven by the WRF meteorological fields including the ADRF feedback, predicted a large increase in the near-surface PM$_{10}$ concentrations (up to 99 %) due to the lower vertical dilution. As a result, biases with the PM$_{10}$ concentrations observed over Moscow during this specific period have been reduced. However, the aerosol direct radiative effect on ABL ventilation is not the only factor that could influence particulate pollution. Alteration of photolysis rates by the aerosol solar extinction could affect the formation of secondary species and hence the concentration of particles. This last point will be investigated in a future study by using a complete online coupling between CHIMERE and the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible radiation model.
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Table 1. Wavelength-dependent complex refractive index of each chemical species used to perform optical calculation. \( n \) and \( k \) are, respectively, the real and imaginary parts of the complex refractive index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Complex refractive index ((n - ik))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300–400 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate(^a)</td>
<td>1.53 /-0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium(^b)</td>
<td>1.52 /-0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate(^b)</td>
<td>1.44 /-10(^{-8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC(^b)</td>
<td>1.45 /-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC(^b)</td>
<td>1.87 /-0.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOA(^b)</td>
<td>1.45 /-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Salt(^b)</td>
<td>1.45 /-0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust(^b)</td>
<td>1.52 /-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water(^b)</td>
<td>1.34 /-2 \times 10(^{-9})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) See d’Almeida et al. (1991).
\(^b\) See Krekov (1993).
\(^c\) See Marley et al. (2001).
Table 2. Climatology of aerosol optical properties for the free troposphere and the stratosphere issued from Hess et al. (1998).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Free troposphere (6–12 km)</th>
<th>Stratosphere (12–20 km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 µm</td>
<td>0.4 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Averaged near-surface concentrations (in µgm^3) of PM_{10}, BC, OC, SOA and Dust along with AOT (340 nm) modeled at Moscow for the period 5–12 Aug 2010 without (WO) and with (W) the ADRF feedback on the input meteorological fields. Percentage changes between the two configurations (W minus WO) are also indicated in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days of Aug 2010</th>
<th>PM_{10} WO/W (%)</th>
<th>BC WO/W (%)</th>
<th>OC WO/W (%)</th>
<th>SOA WO/W (%)</th>
<th>DUST WO/W (%)</th>
<th>AOT (340 nm) WO/W (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>82/90 (+9)</td>
<td>0.31/0.33 (+8)</td>
<td>43/47 (+9)</td>
<td>17/19 (+11)</td>
<td>10/11 (+10)</td>
<td>0.80/0.85 (+6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>104/114 (+10)</td>
<td>0.46/0.51 (+11)</td>
<td>60/66 (+10)</td>
<td>28/31 (+11)</td>
<td>12/14 (+17)</td>
<td>1.20/1.30 (+8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>147/189 (+29)</td>
<td>0.81/1.0 (+26)</td>
<td>69/99 (+43)</td>
<td>55/57 (+4)</td>
<td>17/23 (+35)</td>
<td>2.40/2.50 (+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>256/322 (+26)</td>
<td>1.13/1.44 (+28)</td>
<td>151/200 (+33)</td>
<td>64/64 (0)</td>
<td>34/44 (+29)</td>
<td>3.40/3.50 (+3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>309/334 (+73)</td>
<td>1.11/1.79 (+62)</td>
<td>209/385 (+84)</td>
<td>49/51 (+4)</td>
<td>44/75 (70)</td>
<td>2.30/2.40 (+9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>79/157 (+99)</td>
<td>0.39/0.64 (+63)</td>
<td>34/94 (+176)</td>
<td>33/34 (+3)</td>
<td>7/16 (+129)</td>
<td>0.75/0.80 (+7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39/39 (0)</td>
<td>0.31/0.33 (+6)</td>
<td>3.04/3.52 (+16)</td>
<td>29/29 (0)</td>
<td>2.34/2.47 (+6)</td>
<td>0.60/0.65 (+8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>43/47 (+9)</td>
<td>0.30/0.33 (+10)</td>
<td>3.94/6.21 (+58)</td>
<td>30/31 (+3)</td>
<td>2.48/2.94 (+16)</td>
<td>0.70/0.71 (+1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Geographic distribution of the AOT over Eastern Europe from 5 to 12 August 2010 observed by the POLDER satellite sensor (at 550 nm) and modelled by CHIMERE (at 400 nm). The AERONET station of Moscow and the location of CALIOP measurements analysed in this study are also indicated.

Fig. 2. Vertical profile of the aerosol extinction coefficient (in km$^{-1}$) retrieved by CALIOP (at 532 nm) and modelled by CHIMERE (at 400 nm) during 9 August at midnight in the north of Moscow (59.9° N, 37.6° E) located within the aerosol plume (see Fig. 1). Uncertainty on CALIOP measurements are also indicated by error bars.
Fig. 3. Geographic distribution of the daily mean SSA over Eastern Europe from 5 to 12 August 2010 modelled by CHIMERE (at 400 nm) and measured at the Moscow AERONET station (at 440 nm) during 7, 10 and 12 August (no data is available for the others days of the studied period).

Fig. 4. Daily mean percent distribution of the different chemical species to the total PM$_{10}$ concentrations at the surface modelled at Moscow for each day of the studied period (SS: Sea Salt). Daily mean values of the total PM$_{10}$ surface concentrations (in µg m$^{-3}$) are also indicated in parenthesis.
Fig. 5. Temporal evolution of the daily mean observed and modelled AOT(340 nm) over Moscow between 5 and 12 August 2010. Error bars represent the uncertainty range of observations (±0.01, see Dubovik et al., 2000).

Fig. 6. Wavelength dependence of the (a) AOT and (c) SSA averaged over 5–12 August 2010 simulated by CHIMERE with corresponding AERONET observations over Moscow. Error bars represent the uncertainty range of observations for SSA (±0.03, see Dubovik et al., 2000) and AOT. Values of the observed and modelled Angström exponent are indicated in parenthesis. (b) Column volume size distribution retrieved by AERONET and modelled by CHIMERE for the total aerosol population and for each chemical species.
Fig. 7. Geographic distribution of the diurnal-averaged shortwave ADRF (in W m$^{-2}$) simulated at the surface between 5 and 12 August 2010.

Fig. 8. Impact of the shortwave ADRF on the diurnal-averaged near-surface air temperature (in degrees) simulated between 5 and 12 August 2010.
Fig. 9. Diurnal-averaged (a) shortwave aerosol direct radiative forcing (in Wm$^{-2}$) simulated at the surface ($\Delta F_{\text{BOA}}$) and within the atmosphere ($\Delta F_{\text{ATM}}$) and (b) near-surface air temperature (in °C) simulated with and without aerosols and observed at the meteorological station of Moscow-Domodedov, for each day of the studied period. (c) Impact of the ADRF on the vertical profile of the diurnal-averaged air temperature (in degrees) simulated for the 7 and 8 August 2010 over Moscow.

Fig. 10. (a) Temporal evolution of the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) and (b) vertical wind speed in the ABL at midday, simulated with and without aerosols during 8 August.